

12/17/98

Scott Tannenbaum

in Mass for 1st week

508-372-6055

back by Dec 29th

he will leave statement under
my door if it's not done by
the end of the day.

P.O. 220 Bowdoin

04008

Donald M Zillman, Dean

115 LAW Building

Portland, ME

X 4344

{ Mr. Rep
Stationery

Dear Dean Zillman,

I am writing to you as the legislative

representative of the Penobscot Nation.

Penobscot Nation has historically sent

delegates to the Maine State Legislature
since before Maine became a state. The
delegates would travel to Massachusetts.
Our delegate, Soobe in the Maine House

represented

as representatives as delegates from the
Penobscot Nation, respectively in respects
to the Penobscot Nation. We are

There is one ~~representative~~ ~~delegates~~ representative for the ~~present~~ present Nation and are for all ~~the~~ ~~remaining~~ tribes. Our delegates are given all the rights and privileges ~~as~~ ~~delegates~~ than other delegates are given with one exception. We are not allowed to vote on any issue. We are allowed to seat in the Joint Standing Committees, ~~but~~ we can voice our opinions at ~~the~~ ~~Joint~~ Committee meetings but are not allowed to vote.

I would like to enlist your assistance as Dean of the Law School to perhaps assign an interested student to do some research on the question "What must an Alnob Scot Nation do to be able to vote?" Perhaps the student could work with Senator Boreman of PRET, ^{Supers} FLAHERTY, BELAUME & Pachioski has expressed interest in helping us find an answer. Soverin suggestion I call you.

Please let me know if you can assist us in this endeavour and what ~~the~~ the PNP

*

X^t retain first notifications

3/25

89/758 Pals

Nepales - companies discharge directly into reservation waters / we want to retain Fed Nepales auth in ex: Lincoln

Others not discharging directly into reservation waters but affecting our resources - Fed gov cannot delegate Trust responsibility to the State / James River & Holter Chem memorandum of understandings NOT Good Enough!

establish necessary standards to protect uses

STATE

Need to recognize our "unique usage" or "designated usages" based on Tribal cultural and traditional activities.

in an agreement of first binding do state to recognize, and support and set potentially higher standards - protect those uses such as Scrimmable / fishable.

STATE is not enforcing existing standards!!
ex: Holter Chem

Consider our fish consumption rates when setting standards

20/8 21/8 22/8 23/8 24/8 25/8 26/8

date 3/25/98

On 4/25/98 Mr. Sullivan again
met & requested him to withdraw his
letter stating a good faith effort
by his department in trying to
and that they would recognize and
culture & tradition in any
decision making process - he
still didn't know if he could
do that. Mr. S stated if
he could not then there was a
problem. Agreed to do so.

NOTE

"beginning" was agreed to do so
so based upon "beginning" as a
mutual benefit to both sides
but if necessary as a
beginning to note of joint
effort pleasuring the two tribes
so don't forget - about
widening / narrowing w/ Nov 2

{ about those points that is NOTE
made with : 10
for instance not we who do
about the new

EPA / No position for agmt

- reserves to agents property

- machinery in manuf in letter

- MSA b/w EFTA & SFTs

dry to dry operation

build in to program

Not totally delegated

in accordance w/ MSA

all requirements of Clean Water Act.

States cannot issue permit -

Safe guards in manuf

Industry is say in community /

CW Act / actual water quality

Standards made more stringent

delegated by States or EPA

EPA Standards - through process

Petition to States to change water

Class B to Class A

what Class B has to meet

Independent review delegated or /

EPA / approves water quality standards
review

wouldn't change w/ delegation

- Delegates - jurisdiction over
for I.J. NAP

push claim - delegation
from fed gov to make

State Statute applies includes
Port River / Tidal

are say we'll accept
is day won't push
+ hold delegation until
Claim is settled

one claim is made

by one side will litigate

not itself by claim

not make a issue an
dis.

not all delegated /

EPA = in bill passes

Furiously now write to DOT attorney
(requested) solicit from DOT an
opinion as to who has
jurisdiction.

now pull to start a litigation

+ pull DOT initial opinion

then a litigation - hold up delegation

→ helped when independent
entity steps forward

helped to pull forward

a defendant - marking

show T.J. of

helped - with new

new set up set up

EPA - taking back Jurisdiction

unless states delegates by Congress

Ind. Class did not give states authority
in environmental areas

- internal

Sec 5 - 44 Fed Act
manages + Administers to certain
terms set by Tribe

Not delegating ANR to States
where it impacts Tribes more

= Must go forward =

If passes we may have to challenge
excluded discharges on reservation
Exemption from EPA

Felenin Case 1st Circuit
Appeal

States of case /

Circ - opt. T.C. w/ C.R.

- stay S.Ct. of case

- Interven T.C. matter

or S. Ct. vs. first g.C.
brief.

- Brody issued law decision
no internal tribal matter

- High court - , it is at
of effects try to get it H.

High court level - Norveg
light issue

- Now Appellate Ct

Akins decision - fed top

law principals - judge Brody
covered

- List of 450 cases - Affirmation
Acton case - God Alm does

- Mo Hinn Appls Ct

Judge Menden - C.H. is
first signing L. T. I.
to Interven H.L. matter

does begin fed Ct begin

Brody.

Circ w/ stay b/c

S.Ct. Ct. can on hold.

to wait Brody's down

- Best form of evidence letter
for publicity
Some kind of standards not
applied to Indian people
if company can do it by
any other way /
- Complaints by community members
Deny on site investigation
Duty of BIA not imposed standard
on these agencies.
- Independent documentation by
Admin law judge
Fed Contracts did not apply.
Court to assist
Look at records & interview
people
Same measure possibly in
jurisdictional
- Supreme Ct. action - Supreme
Ct. would not do that
if law can most proceed in
State Ct. States Congress can
decide what internal tribal
matter is.

Employee claims of T.C.
(many T.C. employees are
internal tribal members.)
State Ct. judge says no
we said no employee prob but
not of T.C.

pos held by employee was nothing of
histrian

- Community Health Care Nurse not
possibly a Int'l Trib. matter.

If we lose in appellate at
Skti Ct has jurisdiction

Action here - Only Fed law
by Int'l Tribal matter

Ind prece

Executive Order

Human Rights Commiss

State - A.G

Main State Law

D.A.F

- Ind prece culture & Tradition / only appeal
factual aspects make record
with what
- did not argue Ind prece
to bring no to founders

* D.A.L - affiliation action (more
distrust w/ it to be given)

* looked pos Covit - employee of
Tribal gov decision making process
Should not be given under scrutiny of Skti law

legislates what is an
internal Title II matters //

"Title Civil Rights Act claim"

right to make & enforce their
own laws //
(equal protection clause)

focus was too narrow //

D.O.J. Amicus brief
Ed Cohen - expert report
on behalf of Sec of Ind

LIT2 - now apt
3 Judge panel on appeal
at Federal Level - Registration