## **IRB Reviewers 8-Point Analysis Form**

Reviewer's Additional 6-Point Analysis Form for Children
Based on Federal Policy for the Protection of Human Subjects,
Criteria for IRB Approval of Research (45 CFR 46.111)

Protocol ID #/Title:
Date of Review:
IRB Reviewer:
(Type inside gray boxes, cells will expand)
<u>Instructions</u> : The criteria for reviewing research involving children are listed below in Parts A, B, and C. Please complete all sections.
A. EIGHT POINT CRITERIA for IRB Review
In accordance with 45 CFR 46.111, the protocol must meet the following IRB approval criteria:
1. Risk Identification and Minimization (physical, psychological, social, economic, legal)
■ Are risks to subjects minimized by (i) the use of procedures which are consistent with sound research design and which do not unnecessarily expose subjects to risk and (ii), whenever appropriate, by using procedures already being performed on the subjects for diagnostic or treatment purposes?  ☐ Yes ☐ No
Comments: (cell will expand)
2. Risk /Benefit Assessment
<ul> <li>Are risks to subjects reasonable in relation to anticipated benefits, if any, to the subjects and the importance of the knowledge that may reasonably be expected to result from the study?</li> </ul>
☐ Yes ☐ No
Comments: (cell will expand)
3. Equitable Selection of Subjects
<ul> <li>Is the selection of the subjects equitable, taking into account the purposes of the research and the setting in which the research will be conducted?</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> </ul>
Comments: (cell will expand)

## 4. Obtaining Informed Consent

 Informed consent is sought from each prospective subject or subject's legal representative in accordance with and to the extent required by the Federal Policy.

Place a checkmark in front of each of the following elements appropriately expressed in each submitted ICF:						
		Explanation of the purposes of the research, expected duration of the subject's participation, and description of procedures to be followed				
		Description of any reasonably foreseeable risks or discomforts to the subject				
		Description of any benefits to subject or others which may reasonably be expected				
		Disclosure of appropriate alternative procedures or courses of treatment (for biomedical research)				
		Statement describing how confidentiality of records identifying subject will be maintained				
		Contact information regarding questions about the research (PI) and about rights (IRB Chair)				
		Statement of voluntary participation				
		If a survey instrument contains questions that individuals might find invasive, subjects must be forewarned. Studies about sexual behavior, childhood abuse, use of psychotropic medications, and other personal topics should include a disclosure in the consent form about the nature of the questions				
•	If you find that the project presents greater than minimal risk to subjects, then additional elements would be applicable in concert with full board review.					
omm	ents:					
•	Doe	s the protocol request alteration to or waiver of informed consent?  ☐ Yes ☐ No				
	If yes, the request is accompanied by information documenting consistency with conditions required by the federal regulations.					
Place a checkmark before each condition documented in the protocol:						
		The research is designed to study, examine or evaluate a public benefit or service program; focuses on procedures for obtaining benefits or services, changes or alternatives to programs or changes to or procedures for methods or levels of payment for benefits or services; and, is conducted by or subject to the approval of state or local government officials.				

Comments: (cell will expand)

Page 3 of 7

If the research involves greater than minimal risk, but offers the prospect of direct the individual, please determine the following:  1. Is the risk justified by the anticipated benefits to the participant?    Yes	benefit(s) to
Comments: (cell will expand)  2. Is the relation of the anticipated benefit to the risk at least as favorable as presented by currently available alternative approaches?    Yes	
2. Is the relation of the anticipated benefit to the risk at least as favorable as presented by currently available alternative approaches?  Yes No  Comments: (cell will expand)  3. Does the project make adequate provisions for soliciting both Child Asseminors (taking into account the ages, maturity and psychological state of the involved) and parental consent?  Yes No  Comments: (cell will expand)	
Presented by currently available alternative approaches?    Yes	
Comments: (cell will expand)  3. Does the project make adequate provisions for soliciting both Child Asseminors (taking into account the ages, maturity and psychological state of the involved) and parental consent?    Yes  No  Comments: (cell will expand)	s that
3. Does the project make adequate provisions for soliciting both Child Asseminors (taking into account the ages, maturity and psychological state of the involved) and parental consent?    Yes  No  Comments: (cell will expand)	
minors (taking into account the ages, maturity and psychological state of the involved) and parental consent?    Yes  No  Comments: (cell will expand)	
4. Are the Child Assent and Parental Consent forms written at the appropria level ( <i>i.e.</i> 6 <sup>th</sup> -8 <sup>th</sup> grade for parents, and age appropriate for children)?	nte reading
☐ Yes ☐ No	
Comments: (cell will expand)	
Category 3: Greater than Minimal Risk with No Direct Benefits: The research procedure or intervention that presents greater than minimal risk to children, and of direct benefit(s) to the individual participants, or involves a monitoring procedure the likely to contribute to the participant's well being, but the research is likely to yield knowledge about the participant's disorder or condition.  If the research involves greater than minimal risk and no prospect of direct benefit individual but is likely to yield generalizable knowledge about the participant's discondition, please determine the following:  1. Does the risk represent only a minor increase over minimal risk?	offers no hat is not generalizable (s) to the
☐ Yes ☐ No	

Comments: (cen win expa	<u>anu)</u>	
	surate with those in	ent experiences to the child participants that therent in actual medical, dental, ons?
	☐ Yes	□ No
Comments: (cell will expa	and)	
	condition or inform	eld generalizable knowledge about the child nation which is of vital importance for or condition?
	☐ Yes	☐ No
Comments: (cell will expa	and)	
	unt the ages, matur	ons for soliciting both Child Assent from the rity and psychological state of the children   No
Comments: (cell will expa	and)	
, i	,	
5. Are the Child Assent a level (i.e. 6 <sup>th</sup> -8 <sup>th</sup> grade for	and Parental Conse parents, and age a	ent forms written at the appropriate reading appropriate for children)?
	☐ Yes	□ No
Comments: (cell will expa	and)	
Category 4: Research no the above categories cannot		vable: Research that does not fall within one one IRB.
Comments: (cell will expa	and)	

## C. Final Recommendation

In accordance with USM Policies and Procedures for Human Subject Research and federal regulations for human research protections, I have reviewed this protocol using an expedited review procedure; I recommend

Protocol Approved:

Protocol Approved with Changes:

Changes required:

Modifications and Further Review:
before Approval:

My specific recommendations for required modifications and/or clarifications are:

Recommend Full Board Review

Justification for recommendation:

Not Approved:

Additional Comments: