# WCHP SUMMER UNDERGRADUTE RESEARCH FELLOWSHIP APPLICATION INSTRUCTIONS: SUBMISSION DEADLINE: usually Feb 15

## **Fellowship Details:**

The Westbrook College of Health Professions and the Office of Sponsored Programs are proud to offer Summer Undergraduate Research Fellowships for WCHP students. The fellowships, which are *highly* competitive, provide:

A stipend of \$436/week (full-time<sup>1</sup>, 40 hrs/week, minimum 8 weeks, maximum 12 weeks) \$200 for research supplies

No previous research experience is required. During the Fellowship, students work alongside a faculty mentor who has an ongoing research program. Using an apprenticeship model, students learn about research design, reading and interpreting research papers, as well as real-world data collection and analysis methods. Topics of the research vary widely but ALL projects must be hypothesis driven research.

Applications for the Research Fellowships are completed online.

#### \*\*\* NEW IN 2019 \*\*\*

The following materials should be prepared ahead of time by the individuals indicated:					
Facult	y Mentor	Student Applicant			
• Will provide, in ONE word file, the following		Written statement explaining			
1.	Research Plan	the student's:			
	<ul> <li>Background &amp; Significance,</li> </ul>	1. Career Goals			
	Aims/hypotheses, Approach/Methods (up	2. Reason for wanting to			
	to 2 pages)	participate in the WCHP			
2.	Role that the student will play on the study and	Summer Research			
	Activities in which the student will take part	Fellowship			
	during the Fellowship (up to 1 page)	Unofficial college transcripts			
3.	Time line of the summer research experience				
	including:				
	<ul> <li>estimated direct student contact hours</li> </ul>				
	<ul> <li>if faculty member will be on vacation or</li> </ul>				
	otherwise out of the office during the period				
	of the research experience describe what the				
	student will do during that time and who				
	will supervise the student during that time				
4.	How the work fits into the faculty member's				
	current or future line of research (up to 1 page)				
5.	Budget & Justification (up to \$200)				
6.	Date of IRB or IACUC approval or application				
	submitted				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Students are expected to work a full 40 hour week. The hours of the work will be negotiated with the faculty mentor.

• Faculty Member's CV or NIH Biosketch
Student or Faculty mentor will upload the items listed above to an online application that can be found at: <a href="http://www.une.edu/wchp/research/student/undergrad-fellowships">http://www.une.edu/wchp/research/student/undergrad-fellowships</a>

Failure to include ALL of the information requested may result in rejection of the application.

### Criteria for Evaluating WCHP Summer Undergraduate Research Fellowship Applications

The goals of the WCHP Summer Undergraduate Research Fellowships are to (1) provide undergraduate students exposure to biomedical research that informs the basic and clinical sciences of the health professions and (2) to support the research of faculty with ongoing or developing research programs.

As the summer fellowship is often the first exposure of an undergraduate student to the research process, students applying for a Summer Fellowship are not expected to *develop* a research study on their own. Rather they are expected to participate in the ongoing research of their faculty advisor(s). The application for a Fellowship should include a brief synopsis of the research project, written by the students who have spent time with their faculty advisor learning about the research in which they will be involved over the period of the fellowship. The description of the students' projects should be well defined and should include a short description of why the research is important, specific goals of the research project and testable hypotheses. Students should include a brief description of the proposed methods and expected outcome of the study. Faculty who will serve as the research mentor for the student should have expertise in the topic area of the student's proposed project. Evidence of expertise includes, but is not limited to, publication in the proposed area of study, special training in the area or equivalent evidence. The faculty mentor will provide a written description of how the student's proposed study will advance the faculty member's research program. Faculty who are starting to develop their own line of research may pair up with another faculty member with more experience mentoring student research to form a Co-Mentoring team. In that case, the team should provide a description of how the mentoring duties will be shared.

**Application Scoring Criteria** 

Application Scoring Criteria				
Applicant				
Reason for wanting to do	(2-3 points)	(0-1 points)		
a research Fellowship	Provides clear rationale for	No clear rationale for wanting		
(3 pts)	wanting to participate in a	to participate in a Fellowship		
	Fellowship (plans or is			
	considering attending graduate			
	school, research occupation)			
Academic Performance	(Accomplished: 4-5 points)	(Competent: 2-3 points)	(Developing: 0-1 points)	
(GPA)	GPA: 4.0-3.5	GPA: 3.499-3.00	GPA: < 3.0	
(5 points)				
Mentor(s) faculty may team up to mentor students				
Ongoing research	Accomplished (4-5 pts)	Competent (2-3 points)	Developing (0-1 points)	
program	Ongoing research program	Ongoing research program	Lacks publications or	
(5 pts)	(>1 publication per year at	(<1 publication per year at	presentations in the area of	
	UNE) in the area of research	UNE) but >1 presentation per	research proposed by the	
	proposed by the student;	year in the area of research	student	
		proposed by the student;		
Description of how the	Accomplished (4-5 pts)	Competent (2-3 points)	Developing (0-1 points)	
student's project fits into	Strong evidence of link	Moderate evidence of link	Weak evidence of link	
mentor(s)' ongoing	between the student's	between the student's	between the student's	
research program	proposed study and the	proposed study and the	proposed study and the	
(5 pts)	mentor's ongoing area of	mentor's ongoing area of	mentor's ongoing area of	
	research	research	research	
	If relevant, clear description	If relevant, description of how	If relevant, no description of	
	of how the mentoring team	the mentoring team will	how the mentoring team will	
	will share responsibilities	share responsibilities is vague	share responsibilities is	
Research Description	(Complete: 8-10 pts)	and not clearly defined  (Some information missing: 4-7	evident (substantial information	
(10 pts)	Clearly describes the purpose,	points) Describes the purpose,	missing: 1-3 points) Description	
(10 b(2)	hypotheses, methods, and	hypotheses, methods, and	of the purpose, hypotheses,	
	expected outcome, and content	expected outcome adequately	methods, and expected	
	is well organized and written	for the reviewer to understand,	outcome not well described.	
	with language and terminology	but content is poorly organized	Content is poorly organized and	
	that is appropriate for a	and some claims or assertions	no references are provided.	
	scientific proposal. Claims or	lack references		
	assertions are appropriately			
	referenced.			