COMMUNITY-BASED PARTICIPATORY RESEARCH & HEALTH EQUITY

Rebekka Lee, ScD
Lizzie Barnett, MS

June 27, 2013
Acknowledgments

These slides have been adapted from Harvard School of Public Health Prevention Research Center’s Leaders in Health Program, including a presentation given by Jocelyn Chu, ScD

Institute for Community Health

www.icommunityhealth.org
Learning Objectives

- Define CBPR in your own words.
- Define health equity in your own words.
- Give examples of what are and what are not CBPR projects.
- Describe the benefits of doing CBPR in your community (as either a researcher or participant), including how CBPR can be used to address health inequities.
- Tomorrow: understand the basics of how and why to conduct mixed methods research and focus groups.
Ground rules

- Safe space
- Step up, step back
- Different starting places
- Avoid generalizations
- Ask questions
- Challenge yourself
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Session</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9:00-9:30</td>
<td><strong>Introductions</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9:30-10:30</td>
<td><strong>What is CBPR?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Defining terms &amp; key concepts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Grid/continuum of CBPR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:30-11:30</td>
<td><strong>Why use CBPR?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Health equity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Implementation &amp; Dissemination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:30-11:45</td>
<td><strong>AM Break</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:45-12:45</td>
<td><strong>Examples of CBPR projects</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:45-1:45</td>
<td><strong>Lunch</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:45-2:00</td>
<td><strong>Social identity exercise</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:00-3:00</td>
<td><strong>How do I incorporate CBPR?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:00-3:45</td>
<td><strong>CBPR application: Change tool</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:45-4:00</td>
<td><strong>Wrap up: Lessons learned</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Learning Objectives

- Define CBPR in your own words.
Defining Community-Based Participatory Research (CBPR)

- Community-Based:
  - works in response to the needs of a community

- Participatory:
  - the community is part of the process

- Research:
  - systematic investigation that develops or contributes to generalizable knowledge
What is CBPR?

“CBPR is a collaborative approach to research that equitably involves all partners in the research process and recognizes the unique strengths that each brings. CBPR begins with a research topic of importance to the community with the aim of combining knowledge and action for social change to improve community health and eliminate health disparities.”

-- W.K. Kellogg Community Scholars Program (2001)
“...a collaborative approach to research that combines methods of inquiry with community capacity-building strategies to bridge the gap between knowledge produced through research and what is practiced in communities to improve health.”
Other names

- Participatory research
- Participatory action research
- Action research
- Emancipatory research
- Collaborative action research
- Street Science
- Rapid Assessment (some types)
Key Concepts of CBPR

- **Interplay of research, education, and action**
  - Balance between knowledge generation and intervention

- **Partnership/Mutual Benefit**
  - Involvement of community in all steps of the research process

- **Cooperative: sharing of expertise, decision-making and ownership**
  - Co-learning

- **Community as unit of identity**

- **Building on strengths and resources within community**
Key Concepts of CBPR

- Focus on local relevance of public health problems
  - Honoring local knowledge
- Choice of methods based on research question and feasibility within community
  - Quantitative and qualitative methods
- Dissemination of results to ALL partners
  - Understandable, respectful, useful
- Time and long-term commitment
  - Sustainability
CBPR is an Approach

CBPR requires:
• Cooperation and negotiation
• Partnership development
• Collaboration between community partners/academic researchers
• Commitment to addressing local health issues

NOT a methodology!
Instead, a paradigm shift in the way researchers relate to communities
## Traditional vs. CBPR Research Approaches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traditional Research Approach</th>
<th>Community-engaged Research with the community</th>
<th>CBPR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Researcher defines problem</td>
<td>Research IN the community, or WITH the community</td>
<td>Community identifies problem or works with researcher to identify problem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research In or ON the community</td>
<td>Research WITH community as partner</td>
<td>Research WITH community as full partner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People as subjects</td>
<td>People as participants</td>
<td>People as participants and collaborators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community organizations may assist</td>
<td>Community organizations may help recruit participants &amp; serve on Advisory Board</td>
<td>Community organizations are equal partners with researchers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Researchers gain skills &amp; knowledge</td>
<td>Researchers gain skills &amp; knowledge, some awareness of helping community develop skills</td>
<td>Researchers and community work together to help build community capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Researchers control process, resources &amp; data interpretation</td>
<td>Researchers control research, community representatives may help make minor decisions</td>
<td>Researcher &amp; community share control equally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Researchers own data, control use and dissemination</td>
<td>Researchers own data &amp; decide how will be used and disseminated together</td>
<td>Data is shared, researchers &amp; community decide its use and dissemination</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Duke Center for Community Research, May 2009
Discussion

- Where does your experience with research fit on the grid of the traditional/CBPR continuum?
  - Do you usually follow a traditional or community-engaged approach?
  - In what areas do you take a more community-engaged approach?
  - Are there areas where you typically do research “with the community” that you could shift to a more progressive CBPR approach?
Why CBPR?
Forces Driving Towards CBPR

- Disparities
- Lack of Diverse Participation in Research
- Time from evidence to practice
- Historical Distrust of Research
- Intractable health issues
Why CBPR?

- Complex health and social problems ill-suited to “outside expert” research
- Increasing community and funder demands for community-driven research
- Disappointing results in intervention research
- Increasing understanding of importance of local and cultural context
- Increasing interest in use of research to implement and disseminate best practices

Source: hsc.unm.edu/som/fcm/cpr/docs/CBPR_Intro.ppt
Learning Objectives

- Define CBPR in your own words.
- Define health equity in your own words.
Where we live, learn, work, and play have a tremendous impact on our health.

Social factors such as housing, education, income and employment greatly influence health and quality of life because they determine whether or not individuals have:

- Playgrounds to exercise
- Supermarkets to buy fresh and affordable fruits and vegetables
- Job opportunities to support their families
- Other resources that allow them to be healthy

While it is definitely important for us to encourage people to make healthy choices, we must remember that people can only make healthy choices if they have healthy options.
Social Determinants of Health

- Political Choices
- Distribution of money, power, and resources at global, national, and local levels
- Conditions in which people are born, grow, live, work, and age
- Health Outcomes

WHO (http://www.who.int/social_determinants)
Health Equity

- “absence of **systematic** disparities in health (or its social determinants) between groups with different social advantage/disadvantage (e.g., wealth, power, prestige)”
  - Braverman & Gruskin, 2003

- Health inequities put disadvantaged groups at further disadvantage with respect to health, diminishing opportunities to be healthy
Health Equity

- WHO – Commission on Social Determinants of Health

- The social determinants of health are mostly responsible for health inequities - the unfair and avoidable differences in health status seen within and between countries.

- Putting these inequities right is a matter of social justice

- Social, political, and economic action is needed to address inequities
Health equity means that everyone has a fair opportunity to live a long, healthy life.

It implies that health should not be compromised or disadvantaged because of an individual or population group’s race, ethnicity, gender, income, sexual orientation, neighborhood or other social condition.

Achieving health equity requires creating fair opportunities for health and eliminating gaps in health outcomes between different social groups.

It also requires that public health professionals look for solutions outside of the health care system, such as in the transportation or housing sectors, to improve the opportunities for health in communities.

A health inequity is unfair, avoidable, and rooted in social justice.
Health inequality

- Difference in health outcome across individuals in a population
- E.g., On average, female newborns have lower birth weights than male newborns

Health inequity

- Difference in health outcome is influenced by the unequal distribution of resources to one group over another
- E.g., Racial/ethnic differences in low birth weight rates
How does CBPR address health inequities?
Dissemination & Implementation

- An emerging field within public health
- **Dissemination**: the intentional process whereby people manipulate the spread of an intervention
- **Implementation**: the way & degree to which an intervention is taken up & put into practice within an organization
- Looks beyond traditional focus of efficacy research
- Concerned with effectiveness of interventions in real world settings
  - What are the factors that influence intervention success?
  - How can interventions be designed to become embedded in organizations for sustained impact?
DI Theories & Frameworks

- **Diffusion of Innovations (Rogers 1962)**
  - Describes how ideas perceived as new are communicated through channels in a social system over time
  - Stages of development & factors that influence success (e.g. characteristics of the innovation, setting, adopter)

- **Framework for Effective Implementation (2008)**
  - Developed by Durlak & DuPre
  - Multilevel, ecological
  - Interventions embedded in organization (e.g. schools, hospitals, worksites)
  - Describes types of predictors that influence successful implementation: characteristics of the intervention, provider, organizational capacity, or community context
Discussion

- What are some of the environmental, structural, social, or political factors that lead to health inequities in your community?
  - Do you address any of these inequities in your work?
  - How might a CBPR approach be helpful in addressing these inequities?

- What are the best practices that you would like to translate into real world change here in Maine?
  - Do you know how to most effectively implement these strategies?
  - How might a CBPR approach be helpful in addressing these implementation and dissemination challenges?
BREAK
Learning Objectives

- Define CBPR in your own words.
- Define health equity in your own words.
- Give examples of what are and what are not CBPR projects.
Example: New Castle, IN


BACKGROUND

- New Castle, IN
  - rural community; population ~18,000
  - known as center of auto parts manufacturing, but experienced economic hardships with declines in auto industry
  - “has a history of helping itself and using the resources available”
- Funded through initial grant from the WK Kellogg Foundation (2003-2005) as part of a study to document impacts of CBPR on healthy public policy in the US
Example: New Castle, IN

OVERALL AIM

- Broad health promotion goal
  - “Make the healthy choice the easy choice”
  - Get city decision-makers and general public to think about potential health impacts of any policies/programs being considered
Example: New Castle, IN

PARTNERSHIP

- Indiana University School of Nursing
  - Academics provided training in basics of research methods

- Healthy Cities Committee (HCC) of New Castle
  - Representatives from health and social services, government, business, the arts, environmental concerns, the media, and transportation
  - HCC helped create questionnaires, set agenda, and gain publicity from local media

- Other key stakeholders: City Council, newspaper editor, fire chief, local business owners
Example: New Castle, IN

**METHODS**

- Initial site visit to New Castle in 2004
  - Interviews with academics and community partners
  - Focus group with community members
  - Participant observation
  - Phone interviews with policy-makers
- Door-to-door survey distributed to 1000 households asking various questions about health behaviors
Example: New Castle, IN

FINDINGS

- High rates of smoking, low reports of regular exercise, problematic dietary choices, etc.
- Compared results with Healthy People 2000 (national health promotion and disease prevention objectives)
  - City’s smoking rate was twice that of HP 2000
  - Proportion of those who exercised less than once a week was 12% higher than stated in HP 2000
  - No group (controlling for income) reached HP 2000 goals for diet, exercise, tobacco, or alcohol consumption
- Results disseminated at town hall meetings
Example: New Castle, IN

**ACTION**

- Based on their discussion of the data, the HCC developed a list of five health problems that it thought merited special attention
  - smoking, exercise, alcohol use and abuse, mental health, and dietary choices
- Undertook policy efforts in the following years
  - Passed a measure creating non-smoking areas in all City buildings
  - Built a large playground with community help (1200 volunteers/week)
  - Continue to tackled longer-term projects (e.g., trail system)
Example: New Castle, IN

ACTION, cont’d

- Community organization established: Healthy Communities of Henry County (HCHC) [www.hchcin.org](http://www.hchcin.org)
  - Has operating budget, won several large grants, raises money through fundraisers
  - Puts issues in community context to appeal to broad range of stakeholders

- Results disseminated in community and academic settings (academics and community partners are co-authors)
Example: New Castle, IN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traditional Research Approach</th>
<th>Community-engaged Research (Research with the community)</th>
<th>CBPR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Researcher defines problem</td>
<td>Research IN the community, or WITH the community</td>
<td>Community identifies problem or works with researcher to identify problem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research In or ON the community</td>
<td>Research WITH community as partner</td>
<td>Research WITH community as full partner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People as subjects</td>
<td>People as participants</td>
<td>People as participants and collaborators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community organizations may assist</td>
<td>Community organizations may help recruit participants &amp; serve on Advisory Board</td>
<td>Community organizations are equal partners with researchers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Researchers gain skills &amp; knowledge</td>
<td>Researchers gain skills &amp; knowledge, some awareness of helping community develop skills</td>
<td>Researchers and community work together to help build community capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Researchers control process, resources &amp; data interpretation</td>
<td>Researchers control research, community representatives may help make minor decisions</td>
<td>Researcher &amp; community share control equally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Researchers own data, control use and dissemination</td>
<td>Researchers own data &amp; decide how will be used and disseminated together</td>
<td>Data is shared, researchers &amp; community decide its use and dissemination</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example: The Out of School Nutrition & Physical Activity Initiative (OSNAP)

- 5-year environmental & policy change initiative
- Group randomized control trial in 20 Boston afterschools
- Learning collaboratives delivered 2010-11 school year
- Partnership with the YMCA, Boys and Girls Club, Boston Centers for Youth & Families, BPS Food and Nutrition Services Dept.

- Data collected in fall 2010 and spring 2011:
  - Snacks served & consumed
  - Child physical activity via observation & accelerometers
  - Screen time offerings, staff behaviors & physical spaces
  - Staff questionnaires & interviews
  - Self-assessments
Nutrition program aims:
- Ban sugar-sweetened drinks brought in from outside the snack program & from snacks served
- Offer water as a drink at snack every day
- Offer a fruit or vegetable option every day at snack
- Ban foods with trans fats from snacks served

Physical activity & screen time program aims:
- Offer 30 or more minutes of physical activity for every child every day
- Include vigorous activity at least 20 minutes 3x/week
- Limit computer time to <1 hour for each child every day
- Ban all commercial broadcast TV & movies from programs
Example: Out-of-School Nutrition and Physical Activity Initiative (OSNAP)

- The PRC’s Community Committee → advisory board that helps us set our strategic agenda

- OSNAP Research Partners → organizational support, feasibility, capacity building; they include:
  - Boston Public Schools – Food and Nutrition Services
  - BPS – Department of Extended Learning Time, Afterschool, and Services (DELTAS)
  - Boston Centers for Youth and Families
  - YMCA of Greater Boston
  - Boys and Girls Clubs of Boston
  - Others interested in nutrition and PA, particularly in out of school time programs
Example: Out-of-School Nutrition and Physical Activity Initiative (OSNAP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Traditional Research Approach</th>
<th>Community-engaged Research</th>
<th>CBPR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Researcher defines problem</em></td>
<td>Research IN the community, or WITH the community</td>
<td>Community identifies problem or works with researcher to identify problem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Research In or ON the community</em></td>
<td>Research WITH community as partner</td>
<td>Research WITH community as full partner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>People as subjects</em></td>
<td>People as participants</td>
<td>People as participants and collaborators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Community organizations may assist</em></td>
<td>Community organizations may help recruit participants &amp; serve on Advisory Board</td>
<td>Community organizations are equal partners with researchers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Researchers gain skills &amp; knowledge</em></td>
<td>Researchers gain skills &amp; knowledge, some awareness of helping community develop skills</td>
<td>Researchers and community work together to help build community capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Researchers control process, resources &amp; data interpretation</em></td>
<td>Researchers control research, community representatives may help make minor decisions</td>
<td>Researcher &amp; community share control equally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Researchers own data, control use and dissemination</em></td>
<td>Researchers own data &amp; decide how will be used and disseminated together</td>
<td>Data is shared, researchers &amp; community decide its use and dissemination</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
LUNCH BREAK
Social identity exercise
Learning Objectives

- Define CBPR in your own words.
- Define health equity in your own words.
- Give examples of what are and what are not CBPR projects.
- Understand the benefits of doing CBPR in your community (as either a researcher or participant), including how CBPR can be used to address health inequities.
Benefits and Challenges of CBPR

**Benefits**
- New views
- Resources
- Results more easily translatable into practice
- Data for health improvement
- Visibility and voice for community
- Increased capacity for both researcher and community partners

**Challenges**
- Trust
- Time
- Awareness of potential positive and negative consequences of this approach
- Scientific rigor
- Clashing perspectives and responsibilities
- Access to and ownership of data
- Dissemination
Ethical considerations

- Defining the community
  - Who represents the community?
  - Who gives community consent?

- Community values and ethics
  - Research protocols and standards

- Insider/Outsider tensions
  - Jeopardizing community partner’s standing in the community by being an “outsider”
Evaluating Potential Collaborations

- How will research processes and outcomes serve my community?
  - Training, hiring, building on assets, continuity

- How will my community be involved in defining the objectives of the research?

- Are researchers committed to doing necessary follow-up?

- How will my community be involved in the analysis and dissemination of the data?
Qualities of Participating Collaborators

- Commitment to meet the people where they are
- Ability to respond to situations and interactions, rather than to instigate or control them
- Willingness to learn from and with people
- Sensitivity
- Capacity for critical reflection
  - On the research process
  - On one’s own role
- Patience
- Empathy
- Flexibility/adaptability
Identifying Potential Partners for “Promoting Healthy Living” Project

Your local health department, working with public health faculty persons from a nearby university, is developing a proposal in response to a federal Request for Applications (RFA). The RFA is seeking proposals that will develop effective interventions to increase physical activity in order to reduce disparities in asthma, diabetes, exposure to environmental tobacco smoke, and obesity. Potential strategies will look at school and worksite-based interventions, the built environment, and policy-related barriers to increasing physical activity. A community-based participatory research model must be used, involving key partners from sectors relevant to the topic.

Brainstorm which community and institutional partners from your setting should be invited to participate in this partnership and why. In addition, list some of the pros and cons associated with these choices. After the brainstorming session, report on which community institutional organizations and/or individuals you selected and why, and the pros/cons of each.

Use the following questions for discussion during the brainstorming:

- What kind of agencies should be invited? What kinds of academic departments should be invited?
- How is “community” defined and who “represents” the community?
- Who decides who belongs?
- Is membership comprised of individuals from organizations or organizations represented by individuals?
- When partnership members are organizations, who decides which organizations are involved, and how they are selected for membership? In the case of community-based organizations, who decides the extent to which they are able to represent the community in which they operate?
- When partnership members are individuals, who is able to represent whom?
- How many members do you want on your partnership? How many is too many? How many is enough?
- How will members be invited?
- Why would individuals and organizations want to get involved with this partnership?
CBPR Application
Applying the CBPR approach

- How might you apply one or more CBPR concept(s) to your work?
  - If conducting research yourself, in which area(s) on the grid we used earlier could you apply a CBPR approach?
  - If partnering with researchers, do you feel more prepared? What would you look for in a research partner? What would be the added value of CBPR to your organization?
Essential element of leadership is the ability to get things done, successfully and on time.

The Change Tool facilitates accomplishment through:
- Identification & utilization of effective strategies +
- An accountability mechanism to ensure process stays on track

Writing down goals helps to clarify & commit.

Change Tool is based on Kotter & Schlesinger’s Strategies for Change & the concept of SMART goals.
Strategies for Change

- Designed to overcome the all too common problem of resistance to organizational change
- Take time before the change to think about who might resist & for what reasons
  - Self interest
  - Misunderstanding & lack of trust
  - Differing assessments
  - Fear of new skills & behavior necessary
Strategies for Change

- Education & Communication
  - Tell people change is coming, use when there is inaccurate or very little information available

- Participation & Involvement
  - Include people in design and implementation of change

- Facilitation & Support
  - Listen to concerns, provide trainings for new skills

- Negotiation & Agreement
  - Offer incentives, use if someone may lose out on something

- Command & Enlist

- Explicit & Implicit Coercion
SMART Goals

- Specific
- Measureable
- Attainable
- Realistic
- Timely

http://www.topachievement.com/smart.html
### Change tool example: CTG Corner Store Initiative

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initiative</th>
<th>Key Strategies</th>
<th>Steps/Actions</th>
<th>Deadlines</th>
<th>Current Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1- Collect data on shopping behaviors at Massachusetts stores the aim to adopt healthy corner store initiative as part of the community transformation grants | **-Education & communication**  
**-Participation & Involvement**  
**-Negotiation & agreement** | **Step 1: Coordinate with MDPH** | 5/10/2013 | Bekka met with Tom, Bonnie, & Andrea to determine number of stores that have been recruited & scope of work for baseline |
| | | **Step 2: Calls with community coordinators**  
-Describe protocols  
-Languages for data collectors  
-Incentives  
-Introduction on first day  
-Best week/days in June | 5/17/2013 | Bonnie reached out to all community coordinators as a follow-up |
| | | **Step 3: Finalize baseline plan & protocols for MDPH**  
-Update text on methods, tools, consent forms, budget, codebook | 5/24/2013 | Jenna drafted a template of data report we will feedback to store owners and coordinators  
All calls complete  
5 of 6 communities are interested  
Coordinators all volunteered to visit stores in person next week to describe data collection |
| | | | Bekka emailed after review from Steve and Angie |
| | | | 5/3  
5/7  
5/10  
5/23  
5/29 |
Activity: Start your Change Tool

1. Start on the left of side of the grid by enumerating the 1-3 change initiatives you’d like to pursue given today’s training on community-based participatory research
2. Fill in the key strategies that will most likely help you succeed from an overarching perspective
3. Think about your situation & determine the optimal speed for the change you have in mind
4. Break initiatives down into more manageable action steps that you have control over
5. Set deadlines for accomplishing each specific action step and keep track of your progress weekly
Wrap up

- Lessons learned
  - Health inequities to address in Maine communities
  - CBPR concepts that are most applicable to the needs and interests of today’s participants
Learning Objectives

- Define CBPR in your own words.
- Define health equity in your own words.
- Give examples of what are and what are not CBPR projects.
- Describe the benefits of doing CBPR in your community (as either a researcher or participant), including how CBPR can be used to address health inequities.
“If we want to realize the promise of community participation we need to be less content with giving historically excluded groups influence at the margins and work to create processes that give them influence that counts”

--Lasker & Guidry, 2009
CBPR Resources

- http://www.ccph.info/
- http://www.cbprcurriculum.info/
- http://catalyst.harvard.edu/services/cbpr.html